

United States Patent and Trademark Office

the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,583	06/24/2003	Thomas Feudel	2000.106100	1209	
7590 06/21/2004		EXAMINER			
J. Mike Amerson Williams, Morgan & Amerson, P.C.			BREWSTER,	BREWSTER, WILLIAM M	
Suite 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10333 Richmond			2823		
Houston, TX 77042			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Application N 10/602,583 FEUDEL ETEXAMINER Art Unit William M. Brewster Art Vinit M. Br			4112
## Defice Action Summary Examiner William M. Brewster 2823		Applicant(s)	
### Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For this communication of the mailing date of this communication. For this communication of the mailing date of this communication. For this communication of the mailing date of this communication. For this communication of the mailing date of this communication. For this communication of the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) 1-4. 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ★ All b) ★ Some * c) ★ None of: 1. ★ Carified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ★ Carified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ★ Optication From the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply appecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of treply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the provision of the reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the provision of the reply application and the replaced and the reply application and the replaced and the		Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed start SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or part of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.6-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Set 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 1) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		2823	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estenicions of time may be wellable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDE (30 LS C, § 1 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exemed potent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14.6-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to Set 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. §		corresp ndence ad	dress
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8: Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8: Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8: Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a)⊠ 3)□		merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Dispositi		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8.8. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	10) 🔲 -	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	` .
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority u		
see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	12)	ation No ived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 052404. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	1) 🔲 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	Date	D-152)

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Selvakumar et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,426,069.

Selvakumar anticipates a method of forming at least one field effect transistor on a semiconductive substrate, in fig. 3, the method comprising forming an insulating film 5 on a surface of said substrate 1; in fig. 4, generating a strained surface layer at the interface of said insulating film and said substrate by implanting ions comprised of at least one heavy inert material through the insulating film into said substrate;

limitations from claim 15: wherein said insulating film comprises oxide, col. 3, lines 37-40;

limitations from claims 2, 9: wherein ions of at least one of xenon, argon, germanium, silicon, or a combination thereof are implanted: germanium, col. 3, lines 41-43;

limitations from claims 3, 4, 10, 11: wherein the implanting energy is selected in the range of approximately 10-100-200 keV and wherein the implanting dose is selected in the range of approximately 10^{13} /cm² - 10^{16} /cm²: 80 keV at 6 x 10^{16} /cm², col. 3, lines 41-43;

limitations from claims 6, 13: wherein said substrate comprises one of silicon and germanium or a combination thereof: germanium, col. 3, lines 41-43;

and in fig. 5, removing said insulating film; forming a gate insulating layer 7 for said at least one field effect transistor on said surface of said substrate after said insulating film has been removed;

limitations from claim 17: wherein said gate insulating layer comprises silicon dioxide, col. 3, lines 45-48;

and, in figs. 6, 7, performing additional process steps to manufacture said at least one field effect transistor, wherein a thermal budget in manufacturing the at least one field effect transistor is adjusted to substantially avoid silicon grid restoration in the strained surface layer, col. 5, lines 59-61, also, the SiGe strained layer will not have the same grid as a homogenous Si grid;

limitations from claims 7, 14: wherein said field effect transistor is one of an NMOS, a PMOS and a CMOS transistor, col. 3, lines 28-57.

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Selvakumar.

While Selvakumar does not specify the thickness of the strained layer,
Selvakumar does implant the specified ions at the specified energy. While this should
produce a strained layer at a thickness of less than 20 nm, if it does not, the practitioner
may optimize this dimension.

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentablility to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art . . . such ranges are termed 'critical ranges' and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality . . . More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

Art Unit: 2823

In re Aller 105 USPQ 233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising there from. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 18-21 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claim 18, lines 3-7 cannot be found in the prior art of record. The prior art of record fails to teach, in combination, the process features.

Art Unit: 2823

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William M. Brewster whose telephone number is 571-272-1854. The examiner can normally be reached on Full Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

16 June 2004

William 921. Brewitz

WB